APPLICATION NOTE



ICP - Mass Spectrometry

Authors: David Bass Daniel Jones PerkinElmer, Inc. Shelton, CT USA

Determination of Trace Metals in Human Urine Using the NexION 300 ICP-MS

Abstract

This application note describes the use of an innovative, nextgeneration inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) for the determination of trace elements in human urine. The study will show how spectral interferences are overcome with the instrument's breakthrough Universal Cell Technology[™], and how the unique interface and ion-filtering design is ideally-suited for the analysis of such difficult matrices. Data will be presented that show excellent correlation with a group of UTAK[®] freeze-dried urine standard reference materials.

Introduction

The monitoring of trace metals in human urine plays an important role – to gain a better understanding of sources of environmental contamination. Traditionally, urine analysis has been accomplished by graphite furnace atomic absorption (GFAA). However, when large numbers of samples are analyzed for multiple elements, GFAA becomes very cumbersome and restrictive since it can only determine one element at a time. Additionally, the detection capability of ICP-MS for many elements is far superior to GFAA.¹ The benefits of ICP-MS are well-recognized and include:

- Superior detection-limit capability²
- Enhanced sensitivity
- Higher sample throughput
- Well-defined interferences³
- Reliable isotopic analysis
- Detection of elemental species using HPLC⁴



However, human urine is a complex matrix containing high levels of urea, uric acid, proteins, fats, sodium, potassium, bicarbonate and chloride, as represented in Figure 1, which shows chemical breakdown of the approximately 1.4 liters of urine passed by a typical adult on a daily basis. These components can cause signal suppression during ICP-MS analysis. In addition, there is the potential for signal drift caused by matrix deposition on the interface cones and ion-lens system. Another potential problem is the formation of polyatomic interferences, caused by the combination of matrix components with aqueous and plasma species.

Experimental

Instrumentation

For this study, the PerkinElmer® NexION™ 300D, an innovative new ICP-MS, was used to analyze a group of UTAK® freezedried urine SRM samples. This instrument is ideally suited for the analysis of high-matrix samples because of its unique design. For the first time, a single ICP-MS instrument offers both the simplicity and convenience of a traditional collision cell with kinetic energy discrimination (KED) and the superior interference-reduction capabilities and detection limits of the Dynamic Reaction Cell[™] (DRC[™]). With this design, analysts can now choose the most appropriate collision/reaction cell technology for a specific application, without any restrictions to the type of gases that can be used.

The NexION 300 ICP-MS also features a unique triple-cone interface. Unlike other systems which only have sampler and skimmer cones, this instrument also includes a hyper skimmer to tightly define and focus the ion beam. Pressure within the interface is reduced in smaller steps, providing less dispersion of ions and preventing sample deposition on internal surfaces. All three cones can be guickly and easily removed, cleaned or replaced – an important point for the analysis of urine, which contains high levels of salts and organic materials.



breakdown of the 1.4 liters of urine passed by a typical adult on a daily basis.

The ion beam emerges from the triple-cone interface and enters a quadrupole ion deflector (QID) which is designed around a proprietary, miniaturized quadrupole. The QID bends the ion beam 90 degrees, focusing ions of a specified mass into the cell. Neutrals, non-ionized species, and photons are not affected by the voltages and pass through directly to vent, never impacting any of the surfaces within the QID. Therefore, the voltages within the QID remain constant, resulting in low backgrounds, minimal drift, and exceptional stability even when running the most challenging matrices.

Sample Preparation

Two UTAK® (Valencia, CA) freeze-dried urine standard reference materials (SRMs) were chosen for this study: normal- and high-range urines (UTAK®-12111, Lot # 3500; UTAK®-12110, Lot # 3499). Before analysis, these control samples are reconstituted with 5.0 mL of 1% hydrochloric acid as per the enclosed certificate instructions, then diluted 10-fold with deionized water and preserved with 1% nitric acid. Both acids were Optima® grade (Fisher Scientific®).

To minimize matrix effects during ionization, calibration standards (0.1, 1, 5, and 10 μ g/L) were prepared in a pooled urine sample.

Methodology

Urine, like other biological materials, contains high levels of carbonaceous materials, chlorides and other dissolved solids which can cause both spectral and matrix-induced interferences on the analytes of interest. Therefore, accurate trace-metal determinations in this matrix can be difficult. For example, chloride and carbon ions form the polyatomic species ArC⁺, ArCl⁺, ArN⁺ and ClO⁺, which interfere with the determination of Cr⁺, As⁺, Mn⁺ and V⁺. Therefore, it is important to reduce the impact of these interferences by using cell technology.

Although both DRC and collision cell/KED modes are available, the analysis was performed using DRC mode because of its superior detection capability through the use of ionmolecule reaction chemistries. It was felt that the extremely low guantitation levels, especially with the 10-fold dilution of the normal-level UTAK® SRM, necessitated the use of DRC technology. With that in mind, ammonia (NH₃) was used for the measurement of several of the transition elements, while oxgen (O_2) was used for the determination of arsenic.

Ammonia is universally recognized as the best reaction gas to reduce argon-based spectral interferences. The reason for this is that the reactivity of NH₃ with argon ions is extremely rapid and exothermic, whereas its reaction rate with firstrow transition metals is much slower. The reduction of

⁴⁰Ar¹²C⁺ on ⁵²Cr⁺ with ammonia serves as an example of this concept. Since both of these species exist at mass 52, low levels of Cr cannot be measured in the presence of carbon. However, NH₃ reacts much more rapidly with ArC⁺ (k≈10⁻¹⁰) than with Cr⁺ (k≈10⁻¹²) through the following mechanism:

 $ArC^{+} + NH_{3} \longrightarrow Ar + C + NH_{3}^{+} \qquad K \approx 10^{-10}$ $Cr^{+} + NH_{3} \longrightarrow Cr(NH_{3})^{+} \qquad K \approx 10^{-12}$

The net result is an increase in signal-to-background through the elimination of ArC^+ , thus allowing trace levels of Cr to be measured. This process is similar for the reduction of other polyatomic interferences using the DRC mode.

The optimization plot for ⁵²Cr in the presence of high concentrations of carbon ions (isopropanol) is shown in Figure 2. The x-axis shows the NH₃ cell gas flow rate, while the y-axis represents the signal intensity. It is evident that the signal intensity of the ${}^{40}Ar^{12}C^+$ in the blank is significantly reduced, while the signal for the 1 ppb ⁵²Cr is largely unaffected. The initial apparent drop in the Cr signal from $NH_3 = 0.1$ -0.3 mL/min is actually the reduction of ArC⁺; 1 ppb Cr cannot be seen in the presence of such a high concentration of carbon at such low ammonia flows. At an NH₃ flow rate of approximately 0.7 mL/min, the ArC⁺ interference has been reduced to less than 100 counts, which represents a reduction of 4-5 orders of magnitude from the original level. The dynamic bandpass tuning of the DRC technology immediately ejects NH₃⁺ ions generated in the cell, thus avoiding undesirable side reactions taking place (Note: This optimized DRC bandpass tuning is represented by the RPq values shown in Table 2 – Page 4). As a result, only ⁵²Cr ions exit the cell and enter the analyzer guadrupole. Figure 3 shows the Cr calibration curve (0-5 μ g/L Cr) in urine for ⁵²Cr⁺. The linearity of the curve at these levels provides evidence that the ArC⁺ interference has been removed.



Figure 2. NH_3 Cell gas optimization of $^{\rm 52}Cr$ in the presence of $^{\rm 40}Ar^{\rm 12}C^+$ using reaction chemistry.

For the determination of arsenic, the analyst can leverage the DRC's ability to move arsenic to a new analytical mass, away from the interferences. In urine, the main interferences on ⁷⁵As⁺ are ⁴⁰Ar³⁵Cl⁺ and ⁴⁰Ca³⁵Cl⁺. Although ArCl⁺ reacts rapidly with various cell gases, CaCl⁺ is very unreactive due to the extremely high Ca-Cl bond strength. As a result, CaCl⁺ cannot be eliminated through reaction chemistry. Although collision cell mode would address both of these interferences, the loss of As sensitivity is great, which would make trace-level measurements difficult.

A better alternative would be to use oxygen as the cell gas and take advantage of the rapid reaction between As^+ and O_2 to form ⁷⁵As¹⁶O⁺ at m/z 91, as shown previously.⁵ The conversion of As^+ to AsO^+ is illustrated in Figure 4. In this figure, the X axis shows the gas flow, and the Y axis shows the intensity; the red curve is the ⁷⁵As⁺ signal and the blue curve is the ⁷⁵As¹⁶O⁺ signal, both as a function of oxygen flow. This data clearly shows that as the As⁺ signal decreases, the AsO⁺ signal increases, demonstrating the conversion of As⁺ to AsO⁺.



Figure 3. Calibration plot of 0.1, 1.0 and 5.0 μ g/L of 52 Cr⁺ in urine.



Figure 4. Optimization of the oxygen gas flow in the conversion of $^{75}\mathrm{As^+}$ to $^{75}\mathrm{As^{16}O^+}.$

Instrument Operating Parameters

Instrument operating conditions for the analysis of urine are shown in Table 1; reaction cell conditions appear in Table 2. A high RF power (1500 watts) is important to break down the urine and reduce the effects of matrix suppression. The combination of high RF power in conjunction with a low sample-uptake rate leads to a more energetic plasma, which promotes more complete ionization, reducing deposition on the sampler and skimmer cones, thereby minimizing signal drift.

The elements determined in DRC mode (As, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, V) are shown in Table 2; all other elements were determined in the standard mode. Both sets of elements were combined into a single method. Changeover time between standard and DRC modes was approximately 10 seconds.

Table 1. Instrument conditions used for the analysis ofUTAK $^{\otimes}$ freeze-dried urine.

Parameter	Setting
Sample Introduction System	Baffled Cyclonic Spray Chamber with a Meinhard Low Flow nebulizer
Sample Uptake Rate	0.3 mL/min
Sampler and Skimmer Cones	Nickel
Forward Power	1500 watts
Nebulizer Gas Flow	0.8 L/min
Sweeps	20
Points per Peak	1
Replicates	3
Dwell Time	100 ms
Modes	Standard and DRC
Time to Change Modes	10 s
Internal Standards	Indium (¹¹⁵ In) for all elements except Yttrium (⁸⁹ Y) for ⁶⁶ Zn

Table 2. Reaction gases and gas flows used with the cell RPq values for the determination of As, Cr, Co, Cu, Mn, V in UTAK[®] normal and high level freeze-dried urine SRMs, using DRC mode.

Analyte (Mass)	Reaction Gas	Gas Flow (mL/min)	DRC Setting (RPq Value)
Arsenic Oxide (91)	Oxygen	0.7	0.65
Chromium (52)	Ammonia	0.7	0.75
Cobalt (59)	Ammonia	0.7	0.75
Copper (65)	Ammonia	0.7	0.75
Manganese (55)	Ammonia	0.7	0.75
Vanadium (51)	Ammonia	0.7	0.75

Results

Results for the UTAK[®] SRMs are show in Tables 3 (normal level) and 4 (high level). The "Certificate Value" was supplied with the SRMs and is the average value obtained by four different analytical techniques: Selective Ion Electrode (SIE), ICP-MS, ICP-OES and a calorimetric method. The "Expected Range" is the lowest and the highest value obtained by these techniques. The "Reported Values" are typical results obtained in this study. All the reported values fall within the expected range, thus validating the method.

Table 3. Results for the normal-level UTAK $^{\otimes}$ freeze-dried urine SRM.

Analyte (Mass)	Reported Value (µg/L)	Expected Range (µg/L)
*Arsenic as AsO (91)	9.2	8 to 11
*Chromium (52)	1.1	1.0 to 1.4
*Cobalt (59)	1.8	1.4 to 2.0
*Copper (65)	118	100 to 136
Lead (208)	0.56	0.5 to 0.7
*Manganese (55)	3.2	2.5 to 3.3
Molybdenum (98)	76	60 to 82
*Vanadium (51)	0.69	0.5 to 0.7
Zinc (66)	842	666 to 900

*denotes DRC mode

Table 4.	Results for the high-level UTAK [®] freeze-dried urine
SRM.	

Analyte (Mass)	Reported Value (µg/L)	Expected Range (µg/L)
Aluminum (27)	35	32-44
*Arsenic as AsO (91)	99	88-116
Cadmium (114)	5.0	4.2-5.6
*Chromium (52)	7.6	6.3-8.5
*Copper (65)	171	143-193
Lead (208)	132	111-150
*Manganese (55)	3.9	3.0-4.0
Molybdenum (98)	98	75-101
*Vanadium (51)	10.8	9-12
Zinc (66)	1128	1112-1504

*denotes DRC mode

Conclusion

This work has shown that the innovative design of PerkinElmer's NexION 300 ICP-MS is ideally suited for tracemetal determination in urine. The combination of innovative instrumental-design considerations along with energetic plasma conditions and reaction cell technology allows for the accurate determination of both trace and elevated levels of elements in urine.

References

- Determination of Chromium in Serum and Urine: D. Nixon, J. Butx, S. Ekdahl, M. Burritt, K. Neubauer; PerkinEmer, Inc., Application Note: D-6365A, (2002): http://las.perkinelmer.com/content/ApplicationNotes/ APP_ICPMassChromiumInSerumUrine.pdf
- Determination of Selenium in Serum and Urine: D. Nixon, J. Butx, S. Ekdahl, M. Burritt, K. Neubauer, R. Wolf; PerkinEmer, Inc., Application Note: D-6420, (2000): http://las.perkinelmer.com/content/ApplicationNotes/ APP_ICPMassSeleniumInSerumUrine.pdf
- Determination of Vanadium in Serum and Urine:
 D. Nixon, J. Butx, S. Ekdahl, M. Burritt, K. Neubauer; PerkinEmer, Inc., Application Note: D-6456A, (2003): http://las.perkinelmer.com/content/ApplicationNotes/ APP_ELANDRCVanadiumInSerum.pdf
- 4. Speciation of Five Arsenic Compounds in Human Urine by ICP-MS: W. Reuter, L. Davidowski, K. Neubauer, J. Di Bussolo; PerkinEmer, Inc., Application Note: D-6736, (2003): http://las.perkinelmer.com/content/Application Notes/APP_SpeciationFiveArsenicCompounds.pdf
- 5. Analysis of High Purity Acids Using Dynamic Reaction Cell ICP-MS: D. Bollinger, A Schleisman, Atomic Spectroscopy, 20, 2, 60-63, (1999).

PerkinElmer, Inc. 940 Winter Street Waltham, MA 02451 USA P: (800) 762-4000 or (+1) 203-925-4602 www.perkinelmer.com



For a complete listing of our global offices, visit www.perkinelmer.com/ContactUs

Copyright ©2010-2011, PerkinElmer, Inc. All rights reserved. PerkinElmer® is a registered trademark of PerkinElmer, Inc. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners